IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Finance Publication Finance

7-2009

An Examination of Financial Patterns Using the Survey of Small Business Finances

George W. Haynes Montana State University-Bozeman

James R. Brown

Iowa State University, jrbrown@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/finance_pubs

Part of the Finance and Financial Management Commons

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/finance_pubs/8. For information on how to cite this item, please visit http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html.

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the Finance at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Finance Publication by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.



An Examination of Financial Patterns Using the Survey of Small Business Finances

George W. Haynes¹ Montana State University at Bozeman

> James R. Brown Iowa State University

The substantial growth in the number of small businesses over the past decade has increased the demand for debt and equity capital by small business owners. These businesses account for about 50 percent of private-sector output and employ more than half of private-sector workers over the past decade. Given small businesses' significant role in innovation and economic growth, an understanding of trends in the types and sources of financing they use is important for policymaking, primarily because small businesses typically finance their businesses differently than larger businesses. In a comparison of the earlier Surveys of Small Business Finances (SSBF) conducted by the Federal Reserve with the latest SSBF (2003), Mach and Wolken (2006) suggest that non-depository institutions have become more important to small business owners:

The incidence of credit lines and vehicle loans has increased, whereas the incidence of capital leases declined somewhat. Since the 1987 survey, small businesses have increasingly used non-depository institutions to obtain some of their financial services. However, despite the growth in the use of non-depository sources—from 25 percent of firms in 1987 to

¹ Research performed under contract number SBAHQ-07-M-0381. The statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Office of Advocacy, the U.S. Small Business Administration, or the U.S. government.



39

54 percent in 2003—commercial banks remained the dominant supplier of most financial services. (Page 187)

This study will describe financing patterns of small businesses in 2003 and examine the changes in financing patterns of small business borrowers over the past decade (1993–2003). In addition, the analysis of changes in financing patterns will examine the impact (if any) that the rise of non-traditional, non-commercial lending has had on the importance of internal finance for small firm growth.

1. Literature Review

While an extensive body of literature exists on the financing of large businesses, more limited literature exists on the financing of small businesses. Rich literature exists on capital structure in the business literature (Modigliani and Miller, 1958; Modigliani and Miller, 1963; Myers, 1984; Jensen and Meckling, 1976; and Myers and Majluf, 1984). According to Modigliani and Miller (1958), a firm's capital structure does not matter in a perfect capital market environment because firm value is not affected. Modigliani and Miller (1963) suggested that, in the absence of capital market imperfections, the amount of debt in a firm's capital structure would not affect firm value. Myers (1984) extended this analysis by presenting a static trade-off theory. This theory states that a firm will use debt in its capital structure up to the point where the tax benefit from an extra dollar of debt is equal to the cost that comes from the increased probability of financial distress.

Using agency theory, Jensen and Meckling (1976) argue that the probability distribution of cash flows provided by a firm is not independent of its ownership structure and that this fact may be used to explain optimal leverage. The agency costs associated with both debt and equity will dictate the choice of an optimum capital structure that minimizes total agency costs. Myers and Majluf (1984) use this theory to suggest that a pecking order will exist in a firm's design of its capital structure: internally generated capital will be employed first, followed by outside debt and finally external equity. Most of the original focus was on large publicly traded firms and the theories assume value-and profit-maximization as the only goals.

Although researchers have extended investigations of determinants of financial structure to small and privately held firms, little attention has been given to small businesses. Aronson (1991) examined men- and women-owned businesses using the 1982 Census and found that women were more likely to rely on self-financing, including individual and family financing, than borrowing from others. A study by Chaganti, DeCarolis and Deeds (1996) supported this result by suggesting that women entrepreneurs were more likely than men entrepreneurs to seek equity financing from family and friends. Bates (1991) examined white- and black-owned businesses using the Census of Business



Owners and found that internal equity capital was especially important to black-owned businesses. Ang (1992) extended the use of agency theory to the small business literature. This research suggests that studies of financial structure should distinguish between small privately held firms and larger publicly traded firms. Although small privately held firms have more severe standard problems such as higher costs of agency conflicts and asymmetric information, they have many different complexities. These complexities include, but are not limited to, shorter expected life, presence of estate taxes, intergenerational transfer problems, and the prevalence of implicit contracts.

The aforementioned literature has provided the basis for describing and examining the role of finance companies and the financial structure of small businesses using the 1993 and 1998 SSBF (Haynes, 2005; Haynes and Ou, 2003; Haynes, 2002). More recent work has examined the relationship between financial resources held by the family and business (Haynes, Onochie and Muske, 2007) and the importance of equity (external capital) and debt capital to small business borrowers (Ou and Haynes, 2006).

The literature discusses several reasons why small firms might face a high cost of external capital. Asymmetric information problems may be especially severe for smaller, younger firms, which can lead to both adverse selection and moral hazard, and potentially even to credit rationing (Stiglitz and Weiss, 1981). In addition, younger, smaller ventures often have difficulty obtaining debt because their returns are uncertain and highly volatile, and creditors do not share in firms' returns (Stiglitz, 1985). Smaller firms also may possess limited collateral which is often necessary for obtaining debt finance, particularly for risky firms (Berger and Udell, 1990). Finally, external equity finance is likely even more expensive than debt for almost all small firms, due to both high floatation costs associated with public issues (Lee, Lockhead, Ritter and Zhao, 1996) and the "lemons premium" that any potential equity supplier may demand due to asymmetric information problems (Myers and Majluf, 1984). This literature is employed to generate the hypotheses for this study.

2. Hypotheses

This study is largely descriptive, where financing patterns are described using the 2003 SSBF. In addition, this descriptive study will examine changes in financing patterns over the past 10 years using the 1993, 1998 and 2003 SSBF. Utilizing the earlier work by Mach and Wolken (2006), the following hypotheses will be explored:

1. Commercial banks have become less important lenders for small business borrowers from 1993 to 2003;



- 2. Non-depository lenders, especially finance companies, have become more important lenders for small business borrowers from 1993 to 2003; and
- 3. Commercial banks and finance companies (and other non-depository lenders) are more likely to have a complementary relationship vis-a-vis small business borrowers in 2003 than 1993.

3. Empirical Considerations

Data

This study utilizes the 1993, 1998 and 2003 SSBF. The SSBF is the national survey conducted by the Federal Reserve Board of Governors for information on small businesses' use of different financing sources. This study employs extensive information on each type of loan held by the small business, including the type of institution holding the loan, interest rate charged, loan amount and collateral and guarantee requirements. In addition, the SSBF has critical information on the financial and non-financial characteristics of the firm, including extensive balance sheet and income statement information. The 1993 survey has 4,637 observations representing nearly 5 million small businesses; the 1998 survey has 3,561 observations representing over 5.3 million small businesses; and the 2003 survey has 4,240 observations representing 6.3 million small businesses. All analyses in this study utilize the population weights provided in the data set. All loan amounts were adjusted for inflation from 1993 to 2003 using the producer price index as reported by the U.S. Department of Labor (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2008).

Models

This study describes the financing patterns for small businesses using the SSBF. This study parallels a set of summary tables created for the Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy using the 1993 and 1998 SSBFs. The types of small businesses considered in this study include all firms, traditional borrowers, minority-owned businesses (including specific tables on black- and Hispanic-owned businesses), womenowned business, growth firms (sales increased from the previous year), younger firms (less than four years old) and firms with more than one owner organized as S corporations. (See Appendix A for details).

² See Mach and Wolken, "Financial Services Used by Small Businesses: Evidence from 2003 Survey" (Federal Reserve Bulletin, 2006).



42

In addition, this study will examine the importance of commercial banks and non-depository lenders (especially finance companies) from 1993 to 2003 using concatenated SSBF datasets for 1993 through 2003. The first hypothesis examines the importance of commercial banks from 1993 to 2003 and will employ the following linear regression specification:

```
CB = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \, (year\_1993) + \alpha_2 \, (year\_1998) + \alpha_3 \, (firm \, characteristics) + \alpha_4 \, (owner \, characteristics) + \alpha_5 \, (loan \, types) + \epsilon_i \, \\ where
```

CB = share of loans held by a commercial bank;

year_1993 = dummy variable for 1993 (reference year is 2003);

year_1998 = dummy variable for 1998 (reference year is 2003);

firm characteristics = bankruptcy filings by the owner, natural log of number of employees (size), natural log of age, industrial classification, rural/urban location, legal organization;

owner characteristics = natural log of age, natural log of experience, gender and race of the majority owner; and,

loan types = dummy variables are included for line of credit, mortgage, vehicle, equipment, capital leases and other traditional loans. The dummy variable indicates that the firm holds a loan with a positive balance.

The second hypothesis examines the importance of finance companies from 1993 to 2003 and will employ the following linear regression specification:

```
FC = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{ (year\_1993)} + \alpha_2 \text{ (year\_1998)} + \alpha_3 \text{ (firm characteristics)} + \alpha_4 \text{ (owner characteristics)} + \alpha_5 \text{ (loan types)} + \epsilon_i where FC = \text{share of loans held by a finance company; and} other variables are the same as above.
```

The third hypothesis examines the relationship between commercial banks and finance companies from 1993 to 2003 and from 1998 to 2003. Two regression analyses are completed using the 1993 and 2003 data for the first run and 1998 and 2003 data for a second run. This linear regression specification is specified as follows:

log CB loans =
$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1(\log FC \text{ loans}) + \alpha_2(\text{year}_2003) + \alpha_3(\log FC \text{ loans x year}_2003) + \alpha_4(\text{firm characteristics}) + \alpha_5(\text{owner characteristics}) + \alpha_6(\text{loan types}) + \epsilon_i$$



where

log CB loans = log of commercial bank loans; log FC loans = log of finance company loans; year_2003 = dummy variable for 2003; and other variables are the same as above.

4. Results

This study utilizes the SSBF for three years (1993, 1998, and 2003) to examine who uses each type of loan and source of credit. This section examines the probability of holding a loan in 1993, 1998 and 2003, number of loans held, and the aggregate value of loans.

Table A reports the sample characteristics for the entire sample in columns 2 through 4 and for borrowers only in columns 5 through 7. The three installments of the SSBF utilized similar sampling frames, but the characteristics of the small businesses differed substantially (Table A–columns 2 and 4) from 1993 to 2003 and from 1998 to 2003. Utilizing the population weights, the 2003 SSBF small businesses surveyed were somewhat larger; more likely to be very young (less than four years old) or older (20 years or more) firms; more likely to be firms engaged in transportation and service industries and less likely to be engaged in mining/construction, wholesale trade or retail trade industries; more likely to be subchapter S corporations and less likely to be C corporations than the 1993 SSBF businesses surveyed. In addition, the owners of these firms are somewhat older, more experienced, and more likely to be woman- or minority-owned in 2003 than 1993. When considering only borrowers (Table A–columns 5 and 7), the same differences across the two samples exist when comparing 1993 and 2003.

When comparing the 1998 and 2003 weighted samples (Table A-columns 3 and 4) the 2003 SSBF small businesses were slightly larger and older; more likely to be firms engaged in services and less likely to be firms engaged in manufacturing or wholesale trade; and less likely to be sole proprietorships or regular corporations and more likely to be partnerships or subchapter S corporations than the 1998 SSBF businesses surveyed. In addition, the owners of these firms were somewhat older and more experienced in 2003 than 1993. When comparing only borrowers (Table A-columns 6 and 7), the firms sampled in 2003 were somewhat older; more likely to engaged in the service industry and less likely to engaged in wholesale trade; more likely to be subchapter S corporations and less likely to be C corporations; and the owners were somewhat older, had more experience and were more likely to owned by men than firms sampled in 1998 (Table A).



Table A Characteristics of the sample for 1993, 1998 and 2003

		All Firms		Borrowers Only			
Characteristic	1993	1998	2003	1993	1998	2003	
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last	3 years						
no	97.32	97.52	97.58	97.20 *	97.60	98.20	
yes	2.68	2.48	2.42	2.80	2.40	1.80	
Number of employees							
0	38.75 *	35.95 *	33.38	29.51 *	23.75	23.22	
1 - 4	28.38	29.71	29.93	28.30	29.41	29.31	
5 - 9	16.65	17.62	18.00	19.62 *	22.54	22.10	
10 - 19	8.12 *	8.50 *	10.10	10.72 *	11.65	13.24	
20 - 99	7.08	7.04	7.61	10.35	10.79	10.63	
99 - 499	1.01	1.17	0.99	1.50	1.85	1.50	
Age of the firm							
Less than 4 years	8.96 *	16.30	14.89	10.05 *	14.78	15.91	
4 - 9	34.25 *	28.89	27.77	35.28 *	30.20	27.85	
10 - 19	33.56 *	32.00 *	28.55	33.65 *	33.14 *	28.90	
20 or more	23.23 *	22.81 *	28.79	21.02 *	21.87 *	27.34	
Industrial classification							
Mining and construction	14.18 *	11.87	11.80	15.50 *	14.27	13.17	
Manufacturing	8.06	8.34 *	7.12	9.31	9.00	8.39	
Transportation	2.77 *	3.72	3.76	3.50 *	4.32	5.12	
Wholesale trade	8.46 *	7.15 *	5.88	8.82 *	7.71 *	5.88	
Retail trade	21.70 *	18.95	18.42	22.61 *	18.78	18.19	
Finance, insurance, real estate	7.09	6.48	7.20	7.09	6.88	7.10	
Services	37.74 *	43.49 *	45.81	33.17 *	39.03 *	42.14	
Rural location							
no	21.13	20.11	20.63	22.56	21.60	20.61	
yes	78.87	79.89	79.37	77.44	78.40	79.39	
Legal organization							
Sole proprietorship	43.21	49.35 *	44.54	36.61	40.68	38.55	
Partnership	8.01	6.95 *	8.70	8.06	7.96	8.46	
Corporation, subchapter s	20.33 *	23.87 *	31.02	23.14 *	28.21 *	36.16	
Corporation, regular	28.44 *	19.83 *	15.75	32.18 *	23.14 *	16.84	
Owner age							
Less than 25	0.16 *	0.24 *	1.03	$0.08\ ^*$	0.21 *	0.94	
25 - 34	7.71 *	6.38	5.78	8.93 *	6.14	5.89	
35 - 44	28.73 *	26.72 *	20.67	30.73 *	29.53 *	23.66	
45 - 54	31.75 *	32.82	34.00	33.10	34.32	35.23	
55 - 64	20.74 *	22.96 *	25.55	19.47 *	22.49	23.82	
65 and older	10.90 *	10.89 *	12.96	7.69 *	7.32 *	10.46	

Table A Characteristics of the sample for 1993, 1998 and 2003 (continued)

		All Firms		Borrowers Only		
Characteristic	1993	1998	2003	1993	1998	2003
Owner experience						
Less than 4 years	3.13 *	6.98	6.26	2.80 *	5.30	6.62
4 - 9	16.33	17.26 *	15.28	16.50 *	16.30 *	13.34
10 - 19	36.00 *	32.16 *	28.15	37.80 *	33.06 *	29.25
20 or more	44.54 *	43.59 *	50.30	42.90 *	45.33 *	50.79
Owner gender						
Man	79.39 *	72.01	73.74	80.56 *	75.16 *	77.93
Woman	20.61 *	27.99	26.26	19.44 *	24.84 *	22.07
Owner race						
White	92.52 *	90.49	90.05	93.42 *	91.32	90.72
Non-white	7.48	9.51	9.95	6.58 *	8.68	9.28
Commercial bank share						
0	62.76 *	67.31	65.30	33.98 *	34.77	37.55
1% - 24%	4.56	3.33	3.89	8.13	6.64	7.29
25% - 49%	5.44	3.29 *	4.64	10.37 *	6.79 *	8.49
50% or more	27.24	26.07	26.17	47.52	51.79 *	46.68
Finance company share						
0	87.63 *	86.80 *	78.09	78.08 *	73.66 *	60.56
1% - 24%	3.94 *	4.52 *	8.71	7.02 *	9.01 *	15.95
25% - 49%	1.87 *	1.80 *	3.25	3.70 *	3.70 *	5.75
50% or more	6.55 *	6.89 *	9.95	11.20 *	13.63 *	17.75
Commercial bank loan amount						
0	62.76 *	67.31	65.30	33.98 *	34.77	37.55
\$0 - \$9,999	8.93 *	6.05 *	4.89	15.84 *	12.07 *	8.80
\$10,000 - \$99,999	18.52	16.78	17.83	32.83	33.48	32.08
\$100,000 or more	9.79 *	9.86 *	11.98	17.35 *	19.68	21.56
Finance company loan amount						
0	87.63 *	86.80 *	78.09	78.08 *	73.66 *	60.56
\$0 - \$9,999	4.70	3.51 *	5.57	8.32 *	7.00 *	10.03
\$10,000 - \$99,999	6.25 *	8.09 *	14.18	11.08 *	16.14 *	25.51
\$100,000 or more	1.42 *	1.61	2.17	2.52 *	3.20	3.90
Number of observations	4,637	3,561	4,240	2,969	2,017	2,651

Note: * = alpha less or equal to 0.05; columns after 1993 compare 1993 and 2003; columns after 1998 compare 1998 and 2003.



While the percentage of small businesses utilizing commercial banks has declined, the percentage of small businesses utilizing finance companies has increased (Table A). A similar trend exists for borrowers, where small business borrowers utilizing commercial banks declined from 66 percent to 62.5 percent while the percentage of small business borrowers utilizing finance companies increased from 22 percent to 39 percent. Commercial banks were still the most important source of loans with over 46 percent of small business borrowers acquiring 50 percent or more of the value of their loans from commercial banks in 2003. Less than 18 percent of small business borrowers acquired 50 percent or more of the value of their loans from finance companies. In 2003, over 21 percent of all small business borrowers had a loan of \$100,000 or more with a commercial bank while nearly 4 percent of all small business borrowers had a loan of \$100,000 or more with a finance company.

As mentioned in the models section, this study is largely descriptive. While the analytical section of this paper focuses on commercial banks and finance companies, the descriptive section examines the proportion of small businesses using each type of loan and lender, number of loans, and aggregate value of loans held by each type of loan and lender. Tables B through G include the details of loans held by small firms for 1993, 1998, and 2003.

The percentage of small firms using any credit has increased from 79.1 percent in 1993 to 89.0 percent in 2003 (Table B). The use of traditional loans has remained nearly constant (59.1 percent in 1993 and 60.4 percent in 2003), however use of non-traditional loans has increased by one-third from 60.2 percent in 1993 to 80.0 percent in 2003.

Table B Percentage of all small firms using credit, by sources of credit, 1993 through 2003

Source of Credit	1993	1998	2003
Any credit	79.1 *	82.5 *	89.0
Any traditional loan	59.1 *	55.0 *	60.4
Line of credit	25.7 *	27.7 *	34.3
Mortgage	7.8 *	13.2 *	13.3
Vehicle	25.3 *	20.5 *	25.5
Equipment	14.8 *	9.9 *	10.3
Lease	10.2 *	10.6 *	8.7
Other	12.7 *	9.8 *	10.1
Any non-traditional loan	60.2 *	70.7 *	80.0
Owner loan	17.5 *	14.2 *	16.8
Personal credit card	40.7 *	46.0 *	46.7
Business credit card	28.8 *	34.1 *	48.1
Number of observations	4,637	3,561	4,240

Note: *=alpha less than or equal to 0.05.



The percentage of firms using traditional loans has increased for mortgages (6.5 percent in 1993 to 13.3 percent in 2003), but decreased or remained nearly the same for all other traditional loans. The percentage of firms using non-traditional loans has realized a large increase in business credit card use (28.8 percent in 1993 to 48.1 percent in 2003) with smaller increases realized in owner loans and personal credit cards.³

Table C shows the use of credit by depository and non-depository institutions and non-traditional credit suppliers. The use of depository institutions increased by just over 3 percent (from 44.9 percent in 1993 to 46.4 percent in 2003), while the use of non-depository institutions increased by 28 percent (from 20.7 percent in 1993 to 26.5 percent in 2003), and the use of non-traditional credit increased by nearly 33 percent (from 60.2 percent in 1993 to 80 percent in 2003). The use of commercial banks remained relatively constant at just over 40 percent, while the use of finance companies rose substantially, from 12.4 percent in 1993 to over 22 percent in 2003.

Table C Percentage of all small firms using credit, by suppliers of credit, 1993 through 2003

Suppliers	1993	1998	2003
Any Credit	79.1 *	82.5 *	89.0
Any Traditional Loan	59.1 *	55.0 *	60.4
Any Depository Institution	44.9 *	42.0 *	46.4
Credit Union	2.3 *	2.3 *	3.9
Thrift	4.2 *	3.3 *	5.5
Commercial Bank	40.6 *	38.2 *	41.0
Any Non-Depository Institution	20.7 *	19.8 *	26.5
Finance Company	12.4 *	13.3 *	22.1
Brokerage	0.4 *	0.4 *	0.7
Leasing	8.3 *	6.8 *	4.2
Other Non-depository	1.0 *	1.5 *	2.3
Any Non-Financial Institution	13.8 *	9.6 *	10.4
Family & Friends	8.6 *	6.0 *	6.2
Other Businesses	5.0 *	3.0 *	2.6
Government	0.6 *	1.0 *	1.3
Other	0.3 *	0.2 *	0.4
Unknown	0.0	0.2 *	0.4
Any Non-Traditional Credit	60.2 *	70.7 *	80.0
Owner Loans	17.5 *	14.2 *	16.8
Personal Credit Cards	40.7 *	46.0 *	46.7
Business Credit Cards	28.8 *	34.1 *	48.1
Number of observations	4,637	3,561	4,240

Note: *=alpha less than or equal to 0.05.

³ More information is included for other subsamples in Appendix A. For further analysis of the likelihood of holding each type of loan from 1993 to 2003 please see Appendix B.



48

Table D reports the number of firms using from zero to more than three loans. While the proportion of firms with no loans has remained constant at around 40 percent, the proportion of firms with more than 3 loans has declined substantially, from over 12.5 percent in 1993 to less than 7.7 percent in 2003.

Table D Number of all small firms using credit, 1993 through 2003

Number of Loans	1993	1998	2003
	(nu	imber of firms)	
None	2,041,263	2,502,274	2,492,470
1	1,243,286	1,303,333	1,763,756
2	697,395	679,942	1,027,015
3	377,726	311,682	532,666
More than 3	634,487	494,015	482,181
Number of observations	5,356	3,561	4,240

Table E examines the changes in aggregate value of each type of loan from 1993 through 2003 in constant 2003 dollars. In real terms, the amount of credit outstanding in traditional and non-traditional loans has increased by over 62 percent (from \$869.1 billion in 1993 to \$1.4 tillion in 2003). The most important change has occurred with line of credit and mortgage loans. In 1993, line of credit loans comprised 37 percent of all credit, while mortgages comprised 22 percent of all credit. In 2003, line of credit loans comprised just 28 percent, and mortgages comprised 34.6 percent of all credit. The use of personal and business credit cards has been discussed widely in the popular press, however these credit cards comprise less than 1 percent of all credit outstanding.

Table F shows the changes in aggregate value of loans held by each type of supplier from 1993 through 2003 in constant 2003 dollars. Small firms have a higher probability of using a depository institution, and they held an 11.2 percent larger share of their total loans with depository institutions in 2003 than in1993 (51.3 percent in 1993 and 57.0 percent in 2003). The share of total loans held by depository institutions increased, led by commercial banks whose share increased by 6.7 percent. Non-depository institutions' share of loans rose nearly 11 percent, with finance companies increasing their share by over 23 percent (11.5 percent in 1993 to 14.1 percent in 2003). The gains by depository and non-depository institutions were offset by a decrease of nearly 25 percent in the share of loans held by non-financial institutions. Loans from family and friends and other businesses decreased substantially, while the share of loans held by government programs increased substantially, from less than 1 percent to over 3 percent.

Table E Aggregate value and shares of all small firm debt, by sources of credit, 1993 through 2003

Source of Credit	1993	1998	2003			
	(x \$1 million -	(x \$1 million - constant 2003 dollars)				
Any credit	869,056	767,508	1,408,819			
Any traditional loan	767,782	667,411	1,247,963			
Line of credit	321,850	227,375	395,267			
Mortgage	190,543	234,119	487,708			
Vehicle	34,117	36,898	63,476			
Equipment	63,006	64,189	90,045			
Lease	34,239	38,945	40,142			
Other	124,027	65,886	171,325			
Any non-traditional loan	101,275	100,097	160,856			
Owner loan	98,442	94,866	148,323			
Credit cards (personal and business)	2,833	5,231	12,532			

Shares of aggregate loan amounts

Source of Credit	1993	1998	2003
	(percentages)	
Any credit	100.0	100.0	100.0
Any traditional loan	88.3	87.0	88.6
Line of credit	37.0	29.6	28.1
Mortgage	21.9	30.5	34.6
Vehicle	3.9	4.8	4.5
Equipment	7.2	8.4	6.4
Lease	3.9	5.1	2.8
Other	14.3	8.6	12.2
Any non-traditional loan	11.7	13.0	11.4
Owner loan	11.3	12.4	10.5
Credit cards (personal and business)	0.3	0.7	0.9
Number of observations	4,637	3,561	4,240



Table F Aggregate value of all small firm debt, by suppliers of credit, 1993 through 2003

Suppliers	1993	1998	2003
	(x \$1 million	- constant 2003 d	lollars)
Any Credit	869,056	767,508	1,408,819
Any Traditional Loan	767,782	667,411	1,247,963
Any Depository Institution	445,535	457,602	803,095
Credit Union	3,142	3,771	6,771
Thrift	27,528	22,138	78,901
Commercial Bank	414,865	431,693	717,423
Any Non-Depository Institution	189,867	143,535	340,805
Finance Company	99,511	82,258	198,819
Brokerage	14,004	1,828	12,497
Leasing	21,784	18,642	39,179
Other Non-depository	54,568	40,806	90,310
Any Non-Financial Institution	85,813	50,326	104,063
Family & Friends	38,373	30,599	37,729
Other Businesses	33,710	11,001	17,653
Government	7,785	6,673	44,223
Other	5,945	2,052	2,696
Unknown	46,566	15,948	1,762
Any Non-Traditional Credit	101,275	100,097	160,856
Owner Loans	98,442	94,866	148,323
Credit cards (personal and business)	2,833	5,231	12,532

Shares of aggregate loan amounts

Suppliers	1993	1998	2003
		(percentages)	
Any Credit	100.0	100.0	100.0
Any Traditional Loan	88.3	87.0	88.6
Any Depository Institution	51.3	59.6	57.0
Credit Union	0.4	0.5	0.5
Thrift	3.2	2.9	5.6
Commercial Bank	47.7	56.2	50.9
Any Non-Depository Institution	21.8	18.7	24.2
Finance Company	11.5	10.7	14.1
Brokerage	1.6	0.2	0.9
Leasing	2.5	2.4	2.8
Other Non-depository	6.3	5.3	6.4
Any Non-Financial Institution	9.9	6.6	7.4
Family & Friends	4.4	4.0	2.7
Other Businesses	3.9	1.4	1.3
Government	0.9	0.9	3.1
Other	0.7	0.3	0.2
Unknown	5.4	2.1	0.1
Any Non-Traditional Credit	11.7	13.0	11.4
Owner Loans	11.3	12.4	10.5
Credit cards (personal and business)	0.3	0.7	0.9
Number of observations	4,637	3,561	4,240

Table G shows the share of traditional debt held by small firms from 1993 through 2003 by loan source and supplier. Commercial banks remain the dominant source of line of credit loans, with percentage credit lines held by commercial banks increasing from just over 70 percent in 1993 to nearly 80 percent in 2003. The share of credit lines held by finance companies has remained relatively constant (around 13 percent to 14 percent) since 1993. All other lenders hold less than 2 percent of total line of credit loans, except thrifts. Thrifts realized an increase in their share of line of credit loans, from just over 1 percent in 1993 to nearly 3.5 percent in 2003. Appendix A contains additional information on loan shares by source and supplier for each category of legal organization (Tables 1.7a through 1.7e.)

Leasing companies compete with commercial banks and finance companies for capital leases. Commercial banks saw their share of capital leases decline from nearly 30 percent in 1993 to under 7 percent in 2003. Finance companies saw their share of capital leases increase from nearly 19 percent in 1993 to over 22 percent in 2003. The most substantial gain was realized by leasing companies, which saw their share increase from just over 36 percent in 1993 to nearly 66 percent in 2003.

Commercial banks, thrifts and finance companies have been the major players in the business mortgage market. Commercial banks have been the dominant player in the market, with over 50 percent of all business mortgages held by them since 1998, although commercial banks saw their share of business mortgages decline slightly over the past five years. Thrifts and finance companies realized a substantial gain in market share, with thrift shares increasing from 9.3 percent in 1993 to nearly 12 percent in 2003 and finance company shares increasing from 8 percent in 1993 to over 11 percent in 2003. Other lenders classified as "other non-depository institutions" played a major, yet declining, role in the business mortgage market, with their share declining from nearly 25 percent in 1993 to 16 percent in 2003.

Commercial banks and finance companies are dominant lenders in the vehicle loan market with shares exceeding 40 percent in 2003. Commercial banks held over 40 percent of the shares of vehicle loans since 1993. Their share was been relatively constant while finance companies saw their share increase from nearly 44 percent in 1993 to over 49 percent in 2003. Credit unions and thrifts were the only other institutions holding more than 2 percent of the market. Credit unions realized a twofold increase, from 2.4 percent in 1993 to 4.9 percent in 2003. Thrifts realized a nearly threefold increase, from 1.1 percent in 1993 to nearly 3 percent in 2003.



Table G_93 Share of aggregate value of traditional debt held by small firms, by source and supplier of credit, 1993

Source	Line of credit	Lease	Mortgage	Vehicle	Equipment	Other loans	All
Credit union	0.09	1.97	0.52	2.43	0.09	0.24	0.41
Savings and loan	1.30	0.96	9.29	1.12	1.18	3.38	3.59
Commercial bank	70.25	29.85	44.59	43.45	58.26	33.91	54.03
Finance company	14.51	18.87	8.03	43.74	21.05	2.31	12.96
Brokerage	1.78	0.14	3.43	0.14	0.02	1.32	1.82
Leasing	0.81	36.48	0.07	5.42	5.37	1.06	2.84
Other non-depository	0.58	0.22	24.86	0.05	0.08	4.18	7.11
Family	0.86	3.01	2.97	1.16	2.14	21.93	5.00
Other business	0.42	5.04	3.12	1.75	6.33	16.21	4.39
Government	0.08	0.05	1.10	0.13	1.75	3.44	1.01
Other individual	0.10	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.05	4.47	0.77
Not classified	9.23	3.40	2.00	0.58	3.68	7.55	6.07
All	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Number of Observations		4,637					

Table G_98 Share of aggregate value of traditional debt held by small firms, by source and supplier of credit, 1998

Source	Line of credit	Lease	Mortgage	Vehicle	Equipment	Other loans	All
Credit union	0.15	0.28	0.72	3.39	0.22	0.37	0.57
Savings and loan	1.00	0.59	7.69	1.23	0.11	1.70	3.32
Commercial bank	86.60	27.06	57.36	49.83	59.05	51.09	64.68
Finance company	9.74	18.15	8.46	39.65	26.44	2.49	12.32
Brokerage	0.31	0.94	0.09	0.03	0.07	0.75	0.27
Leasing	0.05	39.30	0.03	2.08	3.65	0.08	2.79
Other non-depository	0.16	0.07	17.06	0.11	0.04	0.65	6.11
Family	0.00	0.99	3.86	0.85	0.39	31.27	4.58
Other business	0.15	10.32	0.30	0.31	1.62	7.16	1.64
Government	0.20	0.06	1.24	0.00	1.59	3.47	1.00
Other individual	0.05	0.06	0.75	0.11	0.00	0.26	0.32
Not classified	1.60	2.18	2.45	2.42	6.82	0.71	2.39
All	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Number of Observations	·	3,561	·		·	·	·

 $Table \ G_03 \ Share \ of \ aggregate \ value \ of \ traditional \ debt \ held \ by \ small \ firms, \ by \ source \ and \ supplier \ of \ credit, \ 2003$

				Source			
Supplier	Line of credit	Lease	Mortgage	Vehicle	Equipment	Other	Total
Credit union	0.10	0.00	0.32	4.90	0.33	0.82	0.54
Savings and loan	3.49	0.82	11.78	2.93	4.27	0.95	6.32
Commercial bank	79.81	6.72	53.07	40.45	47.62	41.94	57.49
Finance company	13.36	22.58	11.10	49.36	28.87	14.87	15.93
Brokerage	1.04	0.11	1.09	0.06	0.84	1.29	1.00
Leasing	0.39	65.93	0.00	0.67	11.46	0.25	3.14
Other non-depository	0.31	0.04	16.03	0.08	0.31	6.14	7.24
Family	0.07	0.20	1.71	0.26	2.34	15.61	3.02
Other business	0.27	2.83	0.16	1.06	3.08	6.56	1.41
Government	1.14	0.02	4.23	0.04	0.59	10.83	3.54
Other individual	0.00	0.39	0.26	0.01	0.10	0.68	0.22
Not classified	0.01	0.37	0.24	0.18	0.20	0.06	0.14
All	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Number of Observations 4,240



Prior to 2003, nearly 80 percent of the equipment loan market was held by commercial banks and finance companies, with commercial banks holding nearly 60 percent of the market and finance companies holding 20 to 25 percent of the market. Since 1998, the share of equipment loans has declined by nearly 20 percent for commercial banks (59.1 percent in 1998 and 47.6 percent in 2003) and increased threefold for leasing companies (3.7 percent in 1998 and 11.5 percent in 2003).

The other traditional loan category was dominated by commercial banks, finance companies, family, other businesses and government in 2003. Since 1993 the share of other traditional loans held by commercial banks, families/friends, and other businesses has fallen, while the share held by finance companies and government has increased.

Additional tables are available on the Office of Advocacy's website (see Appendix A for details.) These show the probability of borrowing by loan source and supplier by selected firm characteristics (number of employees, gross sales, industrial classification and legal organization). They also highlight: all firms, traditional borrowers, minority-owned, black-owned, Hispanic-owned, woman-owned, fast growth (10 percent annual growth or more), young (less than four years old) and corporate (more than one owner).

The descriptive statistics discussed above have examined all types of loans for depository, non-depository and non-financial lenders. The largest share of small business lending over the last decade has been held by commercial banks and finance companies. The remaining analysis in this study examines changes in the shares of commercial bank and finance company lending from 1993 to 2003, and examines the relationship between commercial banks and finance companies by assessing whether they are complements or substitutes. This part of the study considers the actions of borrowers only.

For the average firm, the share of all loans held by commercial banks has decreased by nearly 11 percent (42 percent in 1993 to 38 percent in 2003) since 1993, while the share of all loans held by finance companies has increased by 45 percent (11 percent in 1993 to 16 percent in 2003). The shares lost by the commercial banks appear to have been captured by finance companies.

Table H shows the changes in the shares of all loans held by commercial banks and finance companies from 1993 to 2003 and from 1998 to 2003 for several firm and owner characteristics. Those borrowers filing for bankruptcy in the past three years realized nearly a 30 percent decline in the share of loans held by commercial banks. The smallest businesses (0 employees), those hiring 20-99 employees and those in business 20 years of more realized a significant decline in the share of loans held by commercial banks from 1993 to 2003. Manufacturing businesses increased their share of loans held by commercial banks, while service businesses' share decreased. Other significant declines in the share of commercial bank loans were seen by urban-based small businesses, sole proprietorships and partnerships, older owners, more experienced owners, menowned businesses and non-minority businesses. A similar pattern exists when comparing the shares of loans held by commercial banks in 1998 and 2003.



Table H Commercial Bank and Finance Company Shares by Business and Owners Borrower Characteristics for 1993, 1998 and 2003

Characteristic 1993 1998 2003 1993 1998 All Borrowers 0.42 ° 0.43 ° 0.38 0.11 ° 0.12 ° Owner filed for bankruptey in last 3 years 0 0.48 ° 0.51 ° 0.46 0.12 ° 0.14 ° yes 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.17 0.23 Number of employees 0 0.47 ° 0.46 ° 0.37 0.12 ° 0.17 ° 1 - 4 0.48 ° 0.47 ° 0.47 ° 0.47 ° 0.12 ° 0.16 ° 5 - 9 0.44 ° 0.56 ° 0.42 ° 0.12 ° 0.13 ° 10 - 19 0.48 ° 0.53 ° 0.53 ° 0.17 ° 0.12 ° 20 - 99 0.58 ° 0.55 ° 0.52 ° 0.11 ° 0.14 ° Age of the firm 1 Less than 4 years 0.39 ° 0.41 ° 0.39 ° 0.14 ° 0.18 ° 4 - 9 0.44 ° 0.46 ° 0.42 ° 0.13 ° 0.15 ° 10 - 19 0.51 ° 0.56 ° <t< th=""><th></th><th>Comn</th><th>nercial Bank</th><th></th><th colspan="4">Finance Company</th></t<>		Comn	nercial Bank		Finance Company			
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years no 0.48 ° 0.51 ° 0.46 ° 0.12 ° 0.14 ° yes 0.35 ° 0.36 ° 0.25 ° 0.17 ° 0.23 ° Number of employees 0 0.47 ° 0.46 ° 0.37 ° 0.12 ° 0.17 ° 1 - 4 0.48 ° 0.47 ° 0.42 ° 0.12 ° 0.16 ° 5 - 9 0.44 ° 0.56 ° 0.42 ° 0.12 ° 0.13 ° 10 - 19 0.48 ° 0.53 ° 0.53 ° 0.17 ° 0.12 ° 20 - 99 ° 0.58 ° 0.55 ° 0.52 ° 0.11 ° 0.11 ° 99 - 499 ° 0.60 ° 0.66 ° 0.62 ° 0.11 ° 0.11 ° 4 - 9 ° 0.44 ° 0.46 ° 0.62 ° 0.11 ° 0.14 ° 4 - 9 ° 0.44 ° 0.46 ° 0.42 ° 0.13 ° 0.15 ° 10 - 19 ° 0.51 ° 0.56 ° 0.48 ° 0.11 ° 0.12 ° 10 dot more 0.53 ° 0.56 °	Characteristic	1993	1998	2003	1993	1998	2003	
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years no 0.48 * 0.51 * 0.46 * 0.12 * 0.14 * yes 0.35 0.36 * 0.25 * 0.17 * 0.23 Number of employees 0 0.47 * 0.46 * 0.37 * 0.12 * 0.17 * 1 - 4 0.48 * 0.47 * 0.42 * 0.12 * 0.16 * 5 - 9 0.44 * 0.56 * 0.42 * 0.12 * 0.13 * 10 - 19 0.48 * 0.53 * 0.53 * 0.17 * 0.12 * 20 - 99 0.58 * 0.55 * 0.52 * 0.11 * 0.11 * 99 - 499 0.60 * 0.66 * 0.62 * 0.11 * 0.11 * 4 - 9 0.44 * 0.46 * 0.42 * 0.13 * 0.15 * 10 - 19 0.51 * 0.56 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.12 * 20 or more 0.53 * 0.56 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.14 * Industrial classification 0.47 * 0.58 *	All Borrowers	0.42 *	0.43 *	0.38	0.11 *	0.12 *	0.16	
yes 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.17 0.23 Number of employees 0 0.47 * 0.46 * 0.37 0.12 * 0.17 * 0.16 * 0.17 * 0.12 * 0.16 * 0.16 * 0.48 0.47 0.47 0.12 * 0.16 * 0.13 * 0.19 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.18 * 0.56 * 0.42 0.12 * 0.13 * 0.13 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.58 * 0.55 0.52 0.11 * 0.11 * 0.11 * 0.19 * 0.58 * 0.55 0.52 0.11 * 0.11 * 0.11 * 0.19 * 0.49 0.60 0.66 0.62 0.11 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.49 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.49 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.56 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.50 * 0.44 * 0.46 * 0.42 * 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.56 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.18 * 0.10 * 0.1	Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years							
yes 0.35 0.36 0.25 0.17 0.23 Number of employees 0 0.47 * 0.46 * 0.37 0.12 * 0.17 * 0.16 * 0.17 * 0.12 * 0.16 * 0.16 * 0.48 0.47 0.47 0.12 * 0.16 * 0.18 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.14 0.18 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.19 * 0.10 *	no	0.48 *	0.51 *	0.46	0.12 *	0.14 *	0.19	
0 0.47 * 0.46 * 0.37 * 0.12 * 0.17 * 1 - 4 0.48 * 0.47 * 0.47 * 0.12 * 0.16 * 5 - 9 0.44 * 0.56 * 0.42 * 0.12 * 0.13 * 10 - 19 0.48 * 0.53 * 0.53 * 0.17 * 0.12 * 20 - 99 0.58 * 0.55 * 0.52 * 0.11 * 0.11 * 99 - 499 0.60 * 0.66 * 0.62 * 0.11 * 0.14 * Age of the firm Less than 4 years 0.39 * 0.41 * 0.39 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 4 - 9 *** 0.44 *** 0.46 * 0.42 * 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.15 * 0.16 * 0.18 * 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.11 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * <t< td=""><td>yes</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.25</td><td>0.17</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.34</td></t<>	yes	0.35	0.36	0.25	0.17	0.23	0.34	
1 - 4 0.48 0.47 0.47 0.12 * 0.16 5 - 9 0.44 0.56 * 0.42 0.12 * 0.13 * 0.13 * 0.12 10 - 19 0.48 0.53 0.53 0.17 0.12 20 - 99 0.58 * 0.55 0.52 0.11 * 0.11 * 0.11 * 0.14 Age of the firm Less than 4 years 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.16 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.16 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.56 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.16 * 0.14 * 0.1	Number of employees							
5 - 9 0.44 0.56 * 0.42 0.12 * 0.13 * 10 - 19 0.48 0.53 0.53 0.17 0.12 20 - 99 0.58 * 0.55 0.52 0.11 * 0.11 * 99 - 499 0.60 0.66 0.62 0.11 0.14 Age of the firm Less than 4 years 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13 * 0.15 * 10 - 19 0.51 0.56 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 20 or more 0.53 * 0.56 * 0.49 0.11 * 0.14 * Industrial classification 0.47 0.58 * 0.45 0.16 * 0.18 * Manufacturing 0.41 * 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09 * Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11 * 0.15 Retail trade	0	0.47 *	0.46 *	0.37	0.12 *	0.17 *	0.27	
10 - 19 0.48 0.53 0.53 0.17 0.12 20 - 99 0.58* 0.55 0.52 0.11* 0.11* 99 - 499 0.60 0.66 0.62 0.11 0.14 Age of the firm Less than 4 years 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13* 0.15* 10 - 19 0.51 0.56* 0.48 0.11* 0.12* 20 or more 0.53* 0.56* 0.49 0.11* 0.14* Industrial classification Mining and construction 0.47 0.58* 0.45 0.16* 0.18* Manufacturing 0.41* 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09* Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11* 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54* 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03* 0.09	1 - 4	0.48	0.47	0.47	0.12 *	0.16	0.18	
10 - 19 0.48 0.53 0.53 0.17 0.12 20 - 99 0.58* 0.55 0.52 0.11* 0.11* 99 - 499 0.60 0.66 0.62 0.11 0.14 Age of the firm Less than 4 years 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13* 0.15* 10 - 19 0.51 0.56* 0.48 0.11* 0.12* 20 or more 0.53* 0.56* 0.49 0.11* 0.14* Industrial classification Mining and construction 0.47 0.58* 0.45 0.16* 0.18* Manufacturing 0.41* 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09* Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11* 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54* 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03* 0.09	5 - 9	0.44	0.56 *	0.42	0.12 *	0.13 *	0.17	
99 - 499 0.60 0.66 0.62 0.11 0.14 Age of the firm 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.19 10 - 19 0.51 0.56 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.14 * 0.12 * 0.15 * 0.56 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.14 * 0.15 *	10 - 19	0.48		0.53	0.17	0.12	0.13	
99 - 499 0.60 0.66 0.62 0.11 0.14 Age of the firm 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.19 10 - 19 0.51 0.56 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.14 * 0.12 * 0.15 * 0.56 * 0.49 * 0.11 * 0.14 * 0.15 *	20 - 99	0.58 *	0.55	0.52	0.11 *	0.11 *	0.18	
Less than 4 years 0.39 0.41 0.39 0.14 0.18 4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.16 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.13 * 0.12 * 0.14 * 0.18 10 - 19 0.51 0.56 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.18 * 0.16 * 0.16 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.18 * 0.19 * 0.15 * 0	99 - 499	0.60	0.66	0.62			0.15	
4 - 9 0.44 0.46 0.42 0.13 * 0.15 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.13 * 0.12 * 0.12 * 0.13 * 0.56 * 0.48 * 0.11 * 0.12 * 0.14 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.14 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.15 * 0.14 * 0.	Age of the firm							
10 - 19 0.51 0.56 * 0.48 0.11 * 0.12 * 20 or more 0.53 * 0.56 * 0.49 0.11 * 0.14 * Industrial classification Mining and construction 0.47 0.58 * 0.45 0.16 * 0.18 * Manufacturing 0.41 * 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09 * Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11 * 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54 * 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 * 0.09 Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.42 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 *	Less than 4 years	0.39	0.41	0.39	0.14	0.18	0.16	
20 or more 0.53 * 0.56 * 0.49 0.11 * 0.14 * Industrial classification 0.47 0.58 * 0.45 0.16 * 0.16 * 0.18 * Manufacturing 0.41 * 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09 * Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11 * 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54 * 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 * 0.09 Services 0.47 0.48 * 0.42 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	4 - 9	0.44	0.46	0.42	0.13 *	0.15 *	0.19	
Industrial classification Mining and construction 0.47 0.58 * 0.45 0.16 * 0.18 * Manufacturing 0.41 * 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09 * Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11 * 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54 * 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 * 0.09 Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.42 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 * 0.08 * 0.10 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 * 0.51 * 0.45 * 0.12 * 0.13 *	10 - 19	0.51	0.56 *	0.48	0.11 *	0.12 *	0.21	
Mining and construction 0.47 0.58 * 0.45 0.16 * 0.18 * Manufacturing 0.41 * 0.49 * 0.52 * 0.13 * 0.09 * Transportation 0.44 * 0.40 * 0.36 * 0.22 * 0.22 * Wholesale trade 0.57 * 0.52 * 0.52 * 0.11 * 0.15 * Retail trade 0.48 * 0.54 * 0.48 * 0.13 * 0.11 * Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 * 0.49 * 0.47 * 0.03 * 0.09 * Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.42 * 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 * 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 * 0.48 * 0.44 * 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 * 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 * 0.08 * 0.10 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 * 0.51 * 0.45 * 0.12 * 0.13 *	20 or more	0.53 *	0.56 *	0.49	0.11 *	$0.14\ ^{*}$	0.19	
Manufacturing 0.41 * 0.49 0.52 0.13 0.09 * Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.11 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 0.09 Services 0.47 0.48 0.42 0.11 0.16 0.16 Rural location 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.50 0.08 0.10 0.15 0.15 Legal organization 0.44 0.48 0.48 0.43 0.12 0.15 0.15 0.15 Legal organization 0.58 0.61 0.46 0.08 0.10 0.10 0.13 0.15 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 0.45 0.12 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13	Industrial classification							
Transportation 0.44 0.40 0.36 0.22 0.22 Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11* 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54* 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03* 0.09 Services 0.47* 0.48* 0.42 0.11* 0.16* Rural location 0.61* 0.61* 0.50 0.08* 0.10* yes 0.44 0.48* 0.44 0.13* 0.15* Legal organization 0.48* 0.48* 0.43 0.12* 0.17* Partnership 0.58* 0.61* 0.46 0.08 0.10 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51* 0.45 0.12* 0.13*	Mining and construction	0.47	0.58 *	0.45	0.16 *	0.18 *	0.26	
Wholesale trade 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.11 * 0.15 Retail trade 0.48 0.54 * 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 * 0.09 Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.42 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * 0.15 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Manufacturing	0.41 *	0.49	0.52	0.13	0.09 *	0.13	
Retail trade 0.48 0.54 * 0.48 0.13 0.11 Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 * 0.09 Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.42 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location no 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Transportation	0.44	0.40	0.36	0.22	0.22	0.29	
Finance, insurance, real estate 0.53 0.49 0.47 0.03 * 0.09 Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.42 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Wholesale trade	0.57	0.52	0.52	0.11 *	0.15	0.17	
Services 0.47 * 0.48 * 0.42 * 0.11 * 0.16 * Rural location 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 * 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 * 0.48 * 0.44 * 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 * 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 * 0.08 * 0.10 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 * 0.51 * 0.45 * 0.12 * 0.13 *	Retail trade	0.48	0.54 *	0.48	0.13	0.11	0.12	
Rural location no 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Finance, insurance, real estate	0.53	0.49	0.47	0.03 *	0.09	0.13	
Rural location no 0.61 * 0.61 * 0.50 0.08 * 0.10 * yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Services	0.47 *	0.48 *	0.42	0.11 *	0.16 *	0.22	
yes 0.44 0.48 * 0.44 0.13 * 0.15 * Legal organization Sole proprietorship Sole proprietorship 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 * 0.12 * 0.17 * Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 * 0.08 * 0.10 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 * 0.51 * 0.45 * 0.12 * 0.13 *	Rural location							
Legal organization 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Sole proprietorship 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	no	0.61 *	0.61 *	0.50	0.08 *	0.10 *	0.19	
Legal organization 0.48 * 0.48 * 0.43 0.12 * 0.17 * Sole proprietorship 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 * Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	yes	0.44	0.48 *	0.44	0.13 *	0.15 *	0.19	
Partnership 0.58 * 0.61 * 0.46 0.08 0.10 Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Legal organization							
Corporation, subchapter s 0.45 0.51 * 0.45 0.12 * 0.13 *	Sole proprietorship	0.48 *	0.48 *	0.43	0.12 *	0.17 *	0.23	
·	Partnership	0.58 *	0.61 *	0.46	0.08	0.10	0.12	
~	Corporation, subchapter s	0.45	0.51 *	0.45	0.12 *	0.13 *	0.18	
Corporation, regular 0.47 0.51 0.50 0.14 0.13	Corporation, regular	0.47	0.51	0.50	0.14 *	0.13 *	0.18	



Table H Commercial Bank and Finance Company Shares by Business and Owners Borrower Characteristics for 1993, 1998 and 2003 (continued)

	Comn	nercial Bank	Finance Company			
Characteristic	1993	1998	2003	1993	1998	2003
Owner age						
Less than 25	0.00 *	0.39	0.46	0.02	0.07	0.11
25 - 34	0.35	0.43	0.39	0.17	0.14	0.15
35 - 44	0.44	0.46	0.42	0.13 *	0.16 *	0.21
45 - 54	0.50 *	0.54 *	0.46	0.11 *	0.13 *	0.19
55 - 64	0.54 *	0.52 *	0.47	0.10 *	0.13 *	0.19
65 and older	0.54	0.55	0.48	0.12 *	0.17	0.21
Owner experience						
Less than 4 years	0.35	0.42	0.45	0.13	0.23 *	0.13
4 - 9	0.41	0.42	0.45	0.12 *	0.13	0.18
10 - 19	0.48 *	0.52 *	0.43	0.13 *	0.13 *	0.19
20 or more	0.52 *	0.54 *	0.46	0.11 *	0.15 *	0.21
Owner gender						
Man	0.49 *	0.52 *	0.46	0.12 *	0.14 *	0.19
Woman	0.44	0.47	0.41	0.12 *	0.14 *	0.20
Owner race						
White	0.49 *	0.52 *	0.45	0.12 *	0.14 *	0.19
Non-white	0.37 *	0.41	0.48	0.13 *	0.15	0.20
Number of observations	2,969 #	2,017	2,651	2,969	2,017	2,651

Note: * = alpha less or equal to 0.05; columns after 1993 compare 1993 and 2003; columns after 1998 compare 1998 and 2003.

A nearly opposite pattern exists for finance companies. Borrowers who have filed for bankruptcy in the past three years saw their share of loans held by finance companies increase from 1993 to 2003. The share of loans held by finance companies increased for nearly all sizes and ages of small businesses. Finance companies seem to be especially interested in mining/construction, wholesale trade, finance/insurance/real estate (FIRE) and service businesses. Both rural and urban businesses and all types of legal organizations (except partnerships), realized significant increases in the share of finance company loans. In addition, older, more experienced, men and women owners, and non-minority owners realized increases in the share of loans held by finance companies from 1993 to

2003. A similar pattern emerges when comparing the share of loans held by finance companies from 1998 to 2003.

Tables B through H focused on the loans by source and supplier. However, a more complete review of all liabilities is needed to assess the debt structure of these firms. Appendix A–Tables 1.8a through 9.9a review all liabilities held by small firms by asset and employment size categories.

The multivariate analysis reported in Table I largely supports the bivariate analysis reported in Table H. After controlling for business and owner characteristics, commercial bank shares decreased significantly between 1993 and 2003 (and 1998 and 2003). Several of the control variables warrant further discussion. Owners filing for bankruptcy in the past three years, those living in rural areas, those owners with more experience, women-owned small business, and those holding capital leases or other traditional loans had a smaller share of commercial bank loans than other firms. Larger and older firms had a larger share of loans held by commercial banks. Partnerships had a larger share of loans with commercial banks than firms organized as C corporations. Older owners had a larger share of loans with commercial banks than younger owners. Those owners holding lines of credit and mortgage loans had a larger share of loans with commercial banks than other borrowers.

Table I Determinants of commercial bank and finance company shares of all loans (1993, 1998 and 2003)

	Comm	ercial Bank S	hares	Finance Company Shares				
	Parameter	Standard	nares	Parameter	Standard	nares		
Variable ¹	Estimate	Error	p-value	Estimate	Error	p-value		
Constant	-0.0272	0.0941	0.7725	0.2209	0.0692	0.0014		
Year - 1993	0.0638	0.0115	0.0001	-0.0828	0.0084	0.0001		
Year - 1998	0.0764	0.0113	0.0001	-0.0477	0.0083	0.0001		
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years	-0.0893	0.0310	0.0039	0.0628	0.0228	0.0058		
Number of employees, log	0.0383	0.0061	0.0001	-0.0078	0.0045	0.0810		
Age of the firm, log	0.0396	0.0081	0.0001	-0.0142	0.0060	0.0177		
Mining and construction	0.0044	0.0147	0.7674	0.0114	0.0108	0.2933		
Manufacturing	-0.0304	0.0175	0.0820	-0.0257	0.0129	0.0455		
Transportation	-0.0449	0.0234	0.0555	0.0535	0.0172	0.0019		
Wholesale trade	0.0118	0.0190	0.5344	-0.0031	0.0140	0.8236		
Retail trade	0.0004	0.0131	0.9763	-0.0162	0.0096	0.0910		
Finance, insurance, real estate	-0.0003	0.0194	0.9892	-0.0405	0.0143	0.0046		
Rural location	-0.0953	0.0115	0.0001	0.0217	0.0084	0.0102		
Sole proprietorship	0.0241	0.0141	0.0878	0.0136	0.0104	0.1907		
Partnership	0.0766	0.0193	0.0001	-0.0378	0.0142	0.0079		
Corporation, subchapter s	0.0000	0.0131	0.9986	-0.0149	0.0096	0.1210		
Owner age, log	0.0933	0.0267	0.0005	-0.0072	0.0196	0.7120		
Owner experience, log	-0.0255	0.0102	0.0127	0.0187	0.0075	0.0129		
Owner gender	-0.0340	0.0114	0.0030	0.0038	0.0084	0.6480		
Owner race	-0.0079	0.0170	0.6431	0.0036	0.0125	0.7708		
Line of credit loan, yes	0.2283	0.0100	0.0001	-0.0928	0.0074	0.0001		
Mortgage loan, yes	0.1143	0.0118	0.0001	-0.1099	0.0087	0.0001		
Vehicle loan, yes	-0.0100	0.0099	0.3121	0.1356	0.0073	0.0001		
Equipment loan, yes	0.0103	0.0117	0.3780	-0.0100	0.0086	0.2439		
Capital lease, yes	-0.1525	0.0125	0.0001	0.0444	0.0092	0.0001		
Other traditional loan, yes	-0.0496	0.0120	0.0001	-0.0919	0.0088	0.0001		
Adjusted R-squared			0.1397			0.1351		
Number of observations			7,637			7,637		

¹ Reference groups are year 2003, service industry and regular corporation.

After controlling for business and owner characteristics, finance company shares increased significantly between 1993 and 2003 (and 1998 and 2003). Older firms held a smaller percentage of loans with finance companies than younger firms. Firms engaged in manufacturing or FIRE industries held a smaller percentage of loans with finance companies than firms engaged in service industries. Partnerships held a smaller percentage of loans with finance companies than regular corporations. Firms holding lines of credit, mortgage and other traditional loans held a smaller percentage of loans with finance companies than other firms. Owners filing for bankruptcy in the past three years had a larger share of finance company loans than other firms. Firms engaged in the transportation industries held a larger percentage of loans with finance companies than firms engaged in the service industry. Firms located in rural areas and owners with more experi-

ence held a higher percentage of loans with finance companies than other firms. And finally, firms holding vehicle loans and capital leases held a larger percentage of loans with finance companies than other borrowers.

The final analysis in this study examines the relationship between commercial banks and finance companies from 1993 to 2003 (Table J) and 1998 to 2003 (Table K).

Table J Determinants of commercial bank loan amounts (complements/substitutes), 1993 to 2003

	Commercial Bank Amount					
	Parameter	Standard				
Variable ¹	Estimate	Error	p-value			
Constant	-2.5804	1.1643	0.0267			
Finance company loan amount, log	-0.3254	0.0219	0.0001			
Year 2003	-0.2004	0.1451	0.1672			
Interaction - finance company loan and year 2003	0.0336	0.0265	0.2044			
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years	-1.2556	0.3923	0.0014			
Number of employees, log	0.9559	0.0768	0.0001			
Age of the firm, log	0.0917	0.1025	0.3713			
Mining and construction	-0.0775	0.1854	0.6758			
Manufacturing	-0.1540	0.2205	0.4849			
Transportation	0.6153	0.2934	0.0360			
Wholesale trade	0.8126	0.2407	0.0007			
Retail trade	0.2583	0.1636	0.1145			
Finance, insurance, real estate	0.2818	0.2449	0.2499			
Rural location	-0.4263	0.1439	0.0031			
Sole proprietorship	-0.3873	0.1773	0.0290			
Partnership	0.4332	0.2438	0.0756			
Corporation, subchapter s	-0.0662	0.1643	0.6872			
Owner age, log	1.2571	0.3358	0.0002			
Owner experience, log	-0.0385	0.1295	0.7664			
Owner gender	-0.5014	0.1461	0.0006			
Owner race	0.0976	0.2156	0.6509			
Line of credit loan, yes	4.6468	0.1259	0.0001			
Mortgage loan, yes	3.1907	0.1528	0.0001			
Vehicle loan, yes	2.3057	0.1352	0.0001			
Equipment loan, yes	1.5226	0.1472	0.0001			
Capital lease, yes	0.0902	0.1644	0.5834			
Other traditional loan, yes	1.1079	0.1514	0.0001			
Adjusted R-squared			0.3414			
Number of observations			5,620			

¹ Reference groups are year 2003, service industry and regular corporation.

Table K Determinants of commercial bank loan amounts (complements/substitutes), 1998 to 2003

	Comme	ercial Bank A	mount
	Parameter	Standard	
Variable ¹	Estimate	Error	p-value
Constant	-0.9449	1.2876	0.4631
Finance company loan amount, log	-0.3380	0.0226	0.0001
Year 2003	-0.1359	0.1558	0.3834
Interaction - finance company loan and year 2003	0.0327	0.0275	0.2346
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years	-1.0003	0.4477	0.0255
Number of employees, log	0.9776	0.0838	0.0001
Age of the firm, log	0.2291	0.1095	0.0365
Mining and construction	0.4684	0.2034	0.0213
Manufacturing	0.2334	0.2401	0.3311
Transportation	0.3471	0.3078	0.2594
Wholesale trade	0.2279	0.2695	0.3979
Retail trade	0.4068	0.1812	0.0248
Finance, insurance, real estate	-0.0252	0.2643	0.9242
Rural location	-0.3440	0.1589	0.0305
Sole proprietorship	-0.6113	0.2016	0.0024
Partnership	0.2945	0.2700	0.2754
Corporation, subchapter s	-0.1820	0.1838	0.3220
Owner age, log	0.6387	0.3642	0.0795
Owner experience, log	0.0514	0.1361	0.7056
Owner gender	-0.2258	0.1533	0.1410
Owner race	0.1300	0.2231	0.5602
Line of credit loan, yes	4.6985	0.1357	0.0001
Mortgage loan, yes	3.0979	0.1525	0.0001
Vehicle loan, yes	2.3887	0.1492	0.0001
Equipment loan, yes	1.5142	0.1675	0.0001
Capital lease, yes	0.4398	0.1738	0.0114
Other traditional loan, yes	1.0330	0.1679	0.0001
Adjusted R-squared			0.3636
Number of observations			4,667

¹ Reference groups are year 2003, service industry and regular corporation.

This analysis utilizes the log of total commercial bank and finance company loans to examine whether commercial bank and finance company loans are complements or substitutes. Increases in finance company loan amounts were associated with decreases in commercial bank loan amounts suggesting that commercial bank and finance company loans are substitutes, rather than complements in both regression runs (Tables J and K). When controlling for owner and business characteristics and loan types, the dollar amount of commercial bank loans was unchanged from 1993 to 2003 (Table J) and 1998 to 2003 (Table K).



Other control variables also warrant discussion in the analysis (Table J). Business owners with recent bankruptcy experience, those located in rural areas, sole proprietors and women-owned businesses held smaller loans with commercial banks in the regression using data from 1993 and 2003 (Table J). Larger firms, those engaged in transportation or wholesale trade and older owners held higher loan amounts with commercial banks. In addition, those holding all traditional loans, except capital leases, held higher loan amounts with commercial banks.

Other control variables also warrant further discussion in Table K. Business owners with recent bankruptcy experience, those located in rural areas, and sole proprietors held a smaller amount of their loans with commercial banks in the regression using data from 1998 and 2003. Larger firms, older firms and those engaged in mining/construction or retail trade held a larger amount of their loans with commercial banks. Those firms holding any traditional loan held a larger amount of their loans with commercial banks.

5. Conclusions

This descriptive study documents the dominance of commercial banks and the rise of finance companies over the decade from 1993 to 2003 for small business borrowers. Commercial banks remained the dominant player in the market with over 40 percent of all firms holding at least one loan with a commercial bank. On average, small business borrowers held 42 percent of all loans with commercial banks in 2003. In addition, over 47 percent of aggregate small business loans were held by commercial banks in 2003. Finance companies were the second most significant player in the market, with over 22 percent of all firms holding at least one loan with a finance company. On average, small business borrowers held about 16 percent of all loans with finance companies in 2003. In addition, over 11 percent of the aggregate value of small business loans was held by finance companies. More than 45 percent of all small businesses utilize business and personal credit cards; however, credit card financing (that is, having an outstanding balance at the end of the month) comprised less than 1 percent of the aggregate value of small business loans.

A report prepared for the SBA five years ago (Haynes, 2005), drew the following conclusions:

The continued deregulation of commercial banks in interstate banking, as well as the relaxation of regulations on national banks and bank holding companies, has enabled commercial banks to consolidate and expand. As a result, banking assets have increased significantly during the past decade and have been concentrated in the hands of giant banks and especially bank holding companies. . . . While finance companies have successfully increased their market share of asset-backed



loans, they appeared to have made no progress in encroaching into the market for lines of credit. . . . Commercial banks have gained additional market share in line of credit lending, and have the potential to gain additional market share in asset-backed lending by forming finance company subsidiaries. While finance companies appear to have gained some market share for equipment loans, there appears to be no evidence of finance company encroachment into the market share held by commercial banks. The most substantial decreases in market share were realized by leasing companies and other businesses loaning money to small businesses. (Haynes, 2005)

A similar situation exists for commercial banks and finance companies in 2003. The current research extends the 2004 study by more carefully examining the relationship between commercial banks and finance companies. The aggregate statistics suggest that commercial bank shares decreased from 42 percent to 38 percent, while finance company shares increased from 11 percent to 16 percent (Table H). This aggregate result is supported by more rigorous analyses controlling for business, owner, and loan types. When considering the average shares held by commercial banks and finance companies for all small business borrowers, commercial bank shares decreased and finance company shares increased from 1993 to 2003 (Table I). Although no loan price information is utilized in this study, this study does suggest that commercial banks and finance companies are substitute lending institutions (Tables J and K).

It is important for policymakers and agency administrators to understand this relationship between commercial banks and finance companies more fully. Most importantly, the findings in this study show that, all else equal, firms borrowing more from finance companies will borrow less from commercial banks. This finding may suggest that firms with credit from one source find it more difficult to obtain credit from another source. This substitute relationship may exist because obtaining the initial credit is a negative signal about borrower quality or perhaps because finance companies provide mentoring and other services not provided by commercial banks. This critical relationship between commercial banks and finance companies warrants further review.

6. References

Ang, J. S. (1992). On the theory of finance for privately held firms, *Journal of Small Business Finance*, 1(3), 185-203.

Aronson, R. L. (1991). Self-Employment: A Labor Market Perspective. Ithaca, NY: ILR Press.

Bates, T. (1991). Commercial bank financing of white- and black-owned small business start-ups. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Business*, 31(1), 64-80.



- Berger, A. N. and Udell, G. F. (1990). Collateral, loan quality, and bank risk, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 25(1), 21-42.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2008). Producer price index. http://data.bls.gov/PDQ/servlet/Survey OutletServlet.
- Chaganti, R., DeCarolis, D., and Deeds, D. (1996). Predictors of capital structure in small ventures, *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 20, 7-18.
- Haynes, G.W., Onochie, J. and Muske, G. (2007). Is what's good for business, good for the family in family business? *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 28(1), 395-409.
- Haynes, G.W. (2005) Finance Companies and Small Business Borrowers: Evidence from the 1993 and 1998 Surveys of Small Business Finances, 2005. U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy: www.sba.gov/advo/research/rs255tot.pdf (report); www.sba.gov/advo/research/rs255.pdf (research summary).
- Haynes, G.W. and Ou C. (2003). *Uses of Equity Capital by Small Firms: Findings from the National Survey of Small Business Finances*. Working paper for the Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy; http://www.sba.gov/advo/stats/wkp03co.pdf.
- Haynes, G.W. (2002). Changes in the Financial Structure of Small Businesses from 1993 to 1998, Monograph for the U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy, Washington, DC.
- Jensen, M.C. and Meckling, W.H. (1976). Theory of firm–managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
- Lee, I., Lockhead, S., Ritter, J. and Zhao, Q. (1996). The costs of raising capital, *Journal of Financial Research*, 19(1), 59-74.
- Mach, T. L., and Wolken, J.D. (2006). Financial services used by small businesses: Evidence from the 2003 Survey of Small Business Finances, *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, (October), 167-195.
- Modigliani, F. and Miller M. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment, *The American Economic Review*, 48(3), 261-297
- Modigliani, F. and Miller M. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction, *The American Economic Review*, 53(3), 433-443.
- Myers, S. C. (1984). The capital structure puzzle, *Journal of Finance*, 39(3), 575-592.
- Myers, S. C. and Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187-221.
- Ou, C. and Haynes, G.W. (2006). Acquisition of additional equity capital by small firms: Findings from the National Survey of Small Business Finances, *Small Business Economics Journal*, 27(2-3), 157-168



- Stiglitz, J. (1985). Credit markets and capital control, *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 17(2), 133-52.
- Stiglitz, J. E. and Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information, *American Economic Review*, 71(3), 393-410.

Appendix A: Contents of Online Statistical Addendum

A statistical addendum contains numerous data tables from the SSBF.

The tables mentioned in the report (Tables 1.1a through 9.9a) are located at: http://www.sba.gov/advo/stats/rssbf_98.xls.

Table A.1 enumerates the complete set of SSBF patterns tables. These were originally submitted on compact disk, and are now contained in a compressed file located at: http://www.sba.gov/advo/stats/tabssbf03.zip.These tables are numbered as follows:

Summary tables for the percentage of small firms using credit by sources of credit (Tables 1.1a through 9.1e);

Percentage of small firms using credit by suppliers of credit (Tables 1.2a through 9.2e);

Number of firms with 0, 1, 2, 3 or more than 3 types of loans (Tables 1.3a through 9.3a);

Aggregate value of all debt held by small firms by sources of credit (Tables 1.4a through 9.4e);

Aggregate value of all debt held by small firms by suppliers of credit (Tables 1.5a through 9.5e);

Share of aggregate value of all debt held by small firms by sources of credit (Tables 1.6a through 9.6a);

Proportion of small firms borrowing by sources and suppliers of credit (Tables 1.7a through 1.7e).

In addition, balance sheet information is available for all firms only as follows:

Balance sheet information by asset size categories

(Tables 1.8a through 9.8a); and

Balance sheet information for all firms by employment size categories (Tables 1.9a through 9.9a).



Table A.1 Summary of Tables on Compact Disk using 2003 SSBF

		Traditional					Fast		Corporate
	All firms	Borrowers	Minority	Black	Hispanic	Women	Growth	Younger	>1 owner
Percentage of small firms using credit	1.1a	2.1a	3.1a	4.1a	5.1a	6.1a	7.1a	8.1a	9.1a
by sources of credit	1.1b	2.1b	3.1b	4.1b	5.1b	6.1b	7.1b	8.1b	
	1.1c	2.1c	3.1c	4.1c	5.1c	6.1c	7.1c	8.1c	
	1.1d	2.1d	3.1d	4.1d	5.1d	6.1d	7.1d	8.1d	9.1d
	1.1e	2.1e	3.1e	4.1e	5.1e	6.1e	7.1e	8.1e	9.1e
Percentage of small firms using credit	1.2a	2.2a	3.2a	4.2a	5.2a	6.2a	7.2a	8.2a	9.2a
by suppliers of credit	1.2b	2.2b	3.2b	4.2b	5.2b	6.2b	7.2b	8.2b	0.24
by suppliers of orealt	1.2c	2.2c	3.2c	4.2c	5.2c	6.2c	7.2c	8.2c	
	1.2d	2.2d	3.2d	4.2d	5.2d	6.2d	7.2d	8.2d	9.2d
	1.2e	2.2e	3.2e	4.2e	5.2e	6.2e	7.2e	8.2e	9.2e
Number of small firms with 0, 1, 2, 3	1.3a	2.3a	3.3a	4.3a	5.3a	6.3a	7.3a	8.3a	9.3a
and more than 3 types of loans									
Aggregate value of all debt held by	1.4a	2.4a	3.4a	4.4a	5.4a	6.4a	7.4a	8.4a	9.4a
small firms by sources of credit	1.4b	2.4b	3.4b	4.4b	5.4b	6.4b	7.4b	8.4b	J.4a
Small lims by sources of credit	1.4c	2.4c	3.4c	4.4c	5.4c	6.4c	7.4c	8.4c	
	1.4d	2.4d	3.4d	4.4d	5.4d	6.4d	7.4d	8.4d	9.4d
	1.4u	2.4u 2.4e	3.4e	4.4e	5.4e	6.4e	7.4u 7.4e	8.4e	9.4e
	1.40	2.40	0.40	7.70	0.40	0.40	7.40	0.40	3.40
Aggregate value of all debt held by	1.5a	2.5a	3.5a	4.5a	5.5a	6.5a	7.5a	8.5a	9.5a
small firms by suppliers of credit	1.5b	2.5b	3.5b	4.5b	5.5b	6.5b	7.5b	8.5b	
	1.5c	2.5c	3.5c	4.5c	5.5c	6.5c	7.5c	8.5c	
	1.5d	2.5d	3.5d	4.5d	5.5d	6.5d	7.5d	8.5d	9.5d
	1.5e	2.5e	3.5e	4.5e	5.5e	6.5e	7.5e	8.5e	9.5e
Share of aggregate value of all debt	1.6a	2.6a	3.6a	4.6a	5.6a	6.6a	7.6a	8.6a	9.6a
held by small firms by sources of credit	1.0a	2.0a	3.0a	4.0a	J.0a	0.0a	1.0a	0.0a	3.0a
Tield by sittail fifths by sources of credit									
Proportion of small businesses borrowing	1.7a								
by source and supplier of credit	1.7b								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1.7c								
	1.7d								
	1.7e								
Liability summary for small firms by	1.8a	2.8a	3.8a	4.8a	5.8a	6.8a	7.8a	8.8a	9.8a
asset categories	1.00	2.00	0.00	+.0u	0.04	0.04	7.00	0.00	0.0u
associatiogorius									
Liability summary for small firms by	1.9a	2.9a	3.9a	4.9a	5.9a	6.9a	7.9a	8.9a	9.9a
employment size categories									

Note: a=all firms, b=sole proprietorships, c=partnerships, d=corporation - sub S, and e=corporation - regular.



Appendix B: Regression Analysis of Borrowers, 1993-2003

This study has examined changed in financing patterns from 1993 through 2003. This appendix examines the likelihood that borrowers were more likely to hold a line of credit, mortgage, vehicle, equipment or other traditional loan or capital lease in 2003 than in either 1993 or 1998. The analysis for this appendix regresses the independent variables utilized in Table 3 on each type of loan or capital lease.

This additional study suggests the following: (1) Borrowers are more likely to hold a line of credit loan in 2003 than either 1993 or 1998; (2) Borrowers are more likely to hold a mortgage loan in 2003 than in 1993 only; (3) Borrower are more likely to hold a vehicle loan in 2003 than 1998 only; (4) Borrowers are less likely to hold an equipment loan in 2003 than 1993 only; (5) Borrowers are less likely to hold a capital lease in 2003 than in either 1993 or 1998; and (6) Borrowers are less likely to hold an other traditional loan in 2003 than in 1993 only. Please refer to Table B.1.



Table B.1 Determinants of Holding a Traditional Loan (1993, 1998 and 2003)

	Line of	Credit	Mortg	gage	Veh	icle	Equip	ment	Capital	Lease	Oth	ner
	Parameter		Parameter		Parameter		Parameter		Parameter		Parameter	
Variable	Estimate	p-value										
Constant	0.2016	0.0663	0.1180	0.1996	0.7506	0.0001	0.4975	0.0001	0.2409	0.0054	0.6063	0.0001
Year - 1993	-0.0821	0.0001	-0.0915	0.0001	-0.0232	0.0896	0.0758	0.0001	0.0323	0.0023	0.0368	0.0010
Year - 1998	-0.0610	0.0001	0.0267	0.0168	-0.0530	0.0001	0.0084	0.4542	0.0548	0.0001	0.0074	0.5079
Owner filed for bankruptcy in last 3 years	-0.1783	0.0001	-0.0262	0.3923	0.0694	0.0621	0.0082	0.7898	-0.0040	0.8886	0.1027	0.0007
Number of employees, log	0.0499	0.0001	0.0283	0.0001	-0.0129	0.0691	0.0724	0.0001	0.0618	0.0001	0.0184	0.0016
Age of the firm, log	0.0244	0.0108	-0.0010	0.8993	0.0642	0.0001	0.0025	0.7580	-0.0228	0.0025	-0.0336	0.0001
Mining and construction	0.0112	0.5121	-0.0221	0.1230	0.2442	0.0001	-0.0203	0.1586	-0.1252	0.0001	-0.0343	0.0160
Manufacturing	0.0736	0.0004	-0.0142	0.4113	-0.0161	0.4436	0.0568	0.0011	-0.0039	0.8094	0.0347	0.0437
Transportation	-0.0677	0.0143	-0.0597	0.0100	0.1364	0.0001	0.0060	0.7975	-0.0134	0.5378	0.0334	0.1470
Wholesale trade	0.2049	0.0001	0.0071	0.7036	0.0991	0.0001	-0.0656	0.0005	-0.0515	0.0034	0.0418	0.0241
Retail trade	0.0433	0.0046	0.0357	0.0054	-0.0236	0.1308	-0.0754	0.0001	-0.0975	0.0001	0.0571	0.0001
Finance, insurance, real estate	-0.0703	0.0020	0.2214	0.0001	-0.0769	0.0009	-0.0652	0.0006	-0.0737	0.0001	-0.0056	0.7675
Rural location	-0.0051	0.7049	-0.0983	0.0001	-0.0108	0.4288	-0.0661	0.0001	0.0397	0.0002	-0.0445	0.0001
Sole proprietorship	-0.0564	0.0007	0.0922	0.0001	-0.0395	0.0194	-0.0039	0.7784	-0.0419	0.0014	0.0196	0.1560
Partnership	-0.0347	0.1269	0.1394	0.0001	-0.0640	0.0058	-0.0118	0.5374	-0.0314	0.0807	-0.0370	0.0508
Corporation, subchapter s	-0.0120	0.4354	0.0468	0.0003	0.0089	0.5715	-0.0289	0.0263	-0.0216	0.0767	-0.0067	0.6044
Owner age, log	0.0347	0.2691	-0.0086	0.7447	-0.1130	0.0004	-0.0973	0.0002	-0.0246	0.3219	-0.0960	0.0002
Owner experience, log	-0.0100	0.4107	0.0317	0.0017	0.0029	0.8168	0.0046	0.6538	-0.0039	0.6826	0.0028	0.7809
Owner gender	-0.0532	0.0001	0.0366	0.0012	-0.0128	0.3526	-0.0214	0.0588	-0.0141	0.1837	0.0072	0.5219
Owner race	-0.0608	0.0024	0.0210	0.2105	0.0511	0.0121	-0.0437	0.0094	-0.0158	0.3159	0.0503	0.0025
Adjusted R-squared		0.0523		0.0610		0.0501		0.0482		0.0514		0.0220
Number of observations		7,637		7,637		7,637		7,637		7,637		7,637

